

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Brierley
Given Name	Allison
Person ID	1287579
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Brierley
Given Name	Allison
Person ID	1287579
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This area is already subject to excessive traffic at peak times and now at other off peak times as a consequence of the RHS development. The proposals do not offer any additional facilities which will place further stress on existing local facilities such as doctors and schools. The proposal to build more homes and by extension encourage more traffic to the area is at odds with the GM Clean Air Plan which now is looking to include the A580 to the zone - evidence that the area is already congested.
Family Name	Brierley
Given Name	Allison
Person ID	1287579
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or	Having lived in the Mosley Common area for almost 45 years, the area has seen a significant increase in development which has led to increased demand on local infrastructure particularly the road system. The proposal to permit the construction of 1100 additional

fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

houses will create more traffic and more pollution at a time when GM is introducing the Clean Air Plan - this seems at odds with the GM objective of reducing emissions. The proposals for additional public transport are inadequate and do not take in to account residents who do not work in Manchester and have no option but to use private transport. Where is the infrastructure to travel to other parts of GM? The proposal to increase frequency on the guided busway is flawed given that there is already insufficient capacity at peak times. Existing road infrastructure is insufficient for existing demand - what are the improvements to be made to the A580 which is now being considered as part of the Clean Air Zone? There will be the erosion of green space with no additional provision of leisure space for local residents.